31. Mls. Spic Fine Chemical Ltd.
52. M/s. Satyam Computer Services Ltd.
53. Mls. Surya Jyoti Spinning MUls Ltd.
54. Mls. Tata Elxsi (I) Ltd.
55. Mls. Tata Timken Ltd.
56. M/s. Trimurtee Fertiliesrs Ltd-
57. Mls. Videocon Appliances Ltd.
58. M]s. Woolworth (India) Ltd.

न्यायालय-कर्मचारियों द्वारा ट्रैफिक चालानों का फाड़ा जाना

3024. **श्री सोमपाल :** क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान 1 जनवरी, 1993 के राष्ट्रीय सहारा (दिल्ली संस्करण) के पृष्ठ 3 पर प्रकाशित समाचार की ओर दिलाया गया है जिसमें यह बताया गया है कि अदालत के लिपिक द्वारा ट्रैफिक चालानों को फाड़ने का धंधा किया जा रहा है तथा इस संबंध में मजिस्ट्रेट को धोखा दिया जा रहा है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इससे सरकार को अब तक राजस्व की कितनी हानि हुई है ;

(ग) दोषी अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध क्या कार्यवाही की गई है ; और

(घ) भविष्य में इस प्रकार की घटनाओं की पुनरावृति न होने देने के लिए सरकार ने क्या कदम उठाए हैं ?

विधि, न्याय और कंपनी कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री श्री हंसराज भारद्वाज : (क) से (घ) दिल्ली राष्ट्रीय राजधानी राज्य क्षेत्र शासन और दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय से जानकारी एकत्र की जा रही है और सदन के पटल पर रख दी जाएगी।

Disparaging of some senior officers by CEC

3025. SHRI ANANT RAM JAISWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleraed to stats:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Chief Election Commissioner has disparaged certain senior IAS officers of Government of India for not sparing the services of some joint Secretaries to perform the duty of "Observers" during the presidential and biennial election to Bihar legislative Council in 1992;

(b) if so, the details in this regard;

(c) what are the circumstances under which the defience of the order of the Chief Election Commissioner has occurred;

(d) whethtr Government have taken any action against the guilty officers;

(c) whether Government have taken any step to check such defiance in future; and

(f) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PEN-SONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Election Commission has written to the Government of india that a Secretary to the Government of India did not spare the services of one of the Joint Secretaries to serve as an 'Observer' at the biennial election to the Bihar Legislative Council by the Members of the Bihar Legislative Assembly held in July, 1992.

(c) The Ministry expressed its inability to spare the services of the officer concerned in view of the exigencies of work as perceived by the Ministry.

(d) The Government does not, if so facto, consider "expression of inability" to spare the services of a particular officer for election duty to be a deliberate defiance of Commission's order. However, the Government to examining the further course of ac. tion to be taken in the matter.